This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/733,674	12/08/2000	Akira Tsuboi	1503.64973	1503.64973 2710	
24978	7590 09/02/2004		EXAMINER		
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN			STEELMAN, MARY J		
300 S WACKER DR 25TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60606			2122		
			DATE MAILED: 09/02/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
· ,	09/733,674	TSUBOI, AKIRA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Mary J. Steelman	2122	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 5,6,9,11,13,15 and 17 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5,6,9,11,13,15 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2000</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square odrawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	A) 🗖 Jasan dan (0)	nman (DTO 442)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) //ail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to Amendment received 14 June 2004. Claims 1-4, 7-8, 10, 12, 14, and 16 are canceled. Claims 5, 6, 9, 11, 13, 15, and 17 are pending.

Drawings

2. In view of the amendment to the Specification, the former objection to the drawings is hereby withdrawn.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

4. In view of Applicants amendments to the Specification and Applicants comments, former objections to the Specification and Abstract are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. In view of the amendments to the claims, the former 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph rejections are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2122

12. Claims 5-6, 9, 11, 13, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,349,344 B1 to Sauntry et al., in view of US Patent 5,978,585 to Crelier.

Per claims 5 and 9, Sauntry disclosed:

An apparatus having an execution unit for executing a machine language, compiling a source program into a machine language directly executable by the execution unit, and executing the machine language in a just-in-time-compiler system, comprising: (Col. 3, lines 47-48, "The present invention describes devices, computers, computer-readable media, and systems of varying scope" (apparatus) and col. 10, lines 24-29, "whole the class files are being parsed during creation of the preload DLL file, JAVA byte code may also be compiled into native code, and this native code also stored in the DLL file. (compile source program into a machine language) This is comparable to the just-in-time (JIT) interpretation conducted on a typical JAVA virtual machine…")

a storage unit storing for each function a machine language executable by the execution unit obtained by compiling a function described in the source program, and maintaining stored data after the source program has been executed; (Col. 8, lines 33-35, "The file is desirably burned into ROM (or other nonvolatile storage device) (maintain stored data after execution) to create a run-time image of the JAVA class files ..." and col. 7, lines 56-59, "The converter is desirably a software tool...that provides for the combination of class files into a single DLL file, where the DLL file is in portable executable (PE) format (store converted source into DLL in machine language)."

Art Unit: 2122

compiling unit compiling the source program into a machine language executable by the execution unit; (Col. 10, lines 24-27, "while the class files are being parsed during creation of the preload DLL file, JAVA byte code may also be compiled into native code (compile source code into machine language), and this native code also stored in the DLL file...time is not wasted later ...at run-time (execution).")

an execution control unit instructing the execution unit to directly execute either a machine language compiled by said compiling unit or a machine language stored in said storage unit depending on a determination result obtained by said determination unit. (Col. 8, lines 52-61.)

Sauntry disclosed a storage control unit storing the machine language compiled by said compiling unit, but failed to disclose details regarding updating and the use of date and time. However, Crelier disclosed:

-corresponding to update date and time of the source program compiled by said compiling unit"; (Abstract, lines 8-9, "Examination of the timestamps leads to detection of those files which have been modified. The system will recompile..." Also, col. 3, lines 26-34, "...system keeps track of several pieces of information...timestamps of sources and compiled files...Examination of the timestamps leads to detection of those files which have been modified...")

-a determination unit determining whether or not the update date and time of the source program matches an update date and time corresponding to the machine language stored in said storage unit; (Abstract, lines 10-13, "The system will recompile A.java in the following circumstances: (1) A.class is not found, (2) A.java has a different timestamp, or (3) A.class has a

Art Unit: 2122

different timestamp." Also see FIGS. 4A-C and col. 10, lines 10-38, "...at step 402, the source file's timestamp is examined to determine whether it has changed...")

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to have modified Sauntry's invention, to include information regarding timestamps and program modification requiring recompilation, as this is a useful technique for maintaining logic regarding updates and to track versioning as software evolves. Timestamps are well known in the art for detecting versions and updates. Sauntry's invention relates (col. 1, lines 16-20) to "facilitating development of software programs, with particular emphasis on decreasing the time such a system spends on recompiling source modules..." and thus would be an obvious combination of arts.

Per claim 6, Sauntry disclosed:

a read unit reading a program file storing the source program, wherein (Col. 7, line 36, "...the converter uses the JAVA class files as input... (read program file)")

said storage control unit stores the machine language in said storage unit (Col. 7, lines 38-39, "...ROM imager (storage control unit) as input to burn on a ROM (said storage unit)."

Sauntry disclosed a storage control unit stores the machine language in said storage unit, but failed to disclose details regarding updating and the use of date and time. However, Crelier disclosed:

by assuming that the update date and time of the program file indicated in the program file is the update date and time of the source program corresponding to the machine language;

Art Unit: 2122

(Col. 3, lines 38-39, "If a recompilation is not required..." (assume that compiled language has not changed from source language through the use of timestamps.)

said determination unit determines whether or not the update date and time of the program file indicated in the program file matches the update date and time stored in said storage unit corresponding the machine language. (Col. 3, lines 33-34, "Examination of the timestamps leads to detection of those files which have been modified. The system will recompile..." If the timestamps do not match, the source files have been modified, therefore requiring recompilation.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to have modified Sauntry's invention, to include information regarding timestamps and program modification requiring recompilation, as this is a useful technique for maintaining logic regarding updates and to track versioning as software evolves. Timestamps are well known in the art for detecting versions and updates. Sauntry's invention relates (col. 1, lines 16-20) to "facilitating development of software programs, with particular emphasis on decreasing the time such a system spends on recompiling source modules..." and thus would be an obvious combination of arts.

Per claims 11, 13, 15, and 17, Sauntry disclosed:

A method for executing a program based on a just-in-time-compiler system for compiling a source program into a machine language directly executable on a platform of a specific processing system, and executing the machine language, comprising: (Col. 8, lines 47-52, "At run-time (executing), the JAVA virtual machine does a LoadLibrary call and a GetProcAddress

Art Unit: 2122

call..." and col. 9, lines 23-26, "This method is inclusive of the steps or acts required to be taken by a device such as a computer to preload and preparse at least one JAVA class file into a runtime image (machine language) stored on a nonvolatile storage device such as a ROM." Also col. 10, lines 26-27, "This is comparable to the just-in-time (JIT) interpretation ...")

storing the machine language obtained by compiling the source program for each function described in the source program; (Col. 10, lines 23-26, "...while the class files are being parsed during creation of the preload DLL file, JAVA byte code may also be compiled into native code, and this native code also stored in the DLL file.")

Sauntry disclosed a storage control unit stores the machine language in said storage unit, but failed to disclose details regarding updating and the use of date and time. However, Crelier disclosed:

storing compiled code corresponding to an update date and time of the source program before compiled into a machine language; (Col. 3, lines 29-31, "the system keeps track of several pieces of information. From the outset, the system has kept track of the timestamps of sources...and compiled files thereof...")

determining whether or not the date and time of the update of the source program matches an update date and time corresponding to the stored machine language; (Col. 3, lines 33-34, "Examination of the timestamps leads to detection of those files which have been modified.")

setting either the machine language obtained by compiling the source program or the machine language stored in the storage unit to be directly executed on a platform of a specific

Art Unit: 2122

processing system based on a determination result. (Col. 3, lines 34-47, "The system will recompile...in the following circumstances...Otherwise, the system does not invoke a recompile...")

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to have modified Sauntry's invention, to include information regarding timestamps and program modification requiring recompilation, as this is a useful technique for maintaining logic regarding updates and to track versioning as software evolves. Timestamps are well known in the art for detecting versions and updates. Sauntry's invention relates (col. 1, lines 16-20) to "facilitating development of software programs, with particular emphasis on decreasing the time such a system spends on recompiling source modules..." and thus would be an obvious combination of arts.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 14 June 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has argued, in substance, the following:

(A) As Applicant has noted on page 17, 2nd paragraph of Amendment filed 14 June 2004, "Sauntry fails to disclose details regarding updating and the use of date and time for an apparatus having an execution unit for executing machine language."

Examiner's Response: The Sauntry reference is not used to meet the limitations regarding updating and the use of date and time for an apparatus having an execution unit for executing

Art Unit: 2122

machine language. The Crelier reference discloses that (col. 3, lines 33-34) examination of the timestamps leads to detection of those files which have been modified, thus in need of a recompile from the source code.

(B) As Applicant has noted on page 17, 2nd paragraph, "Crelier doe not teach storing as machine language, but rather stores compiled files as JAVA byte code." "Crelier fails to teach or suggest functions contained in a program that are associated with time and date indications indicating the time and date at which the program has been revised."

Examiner's Response: The Sauntry reference is used to meet the limitation of "storing as machine language". Crelier's invention tracks timestamps and compares the compiled timestamp to the source timestamp (col. 3, lines 31-32). Crelier recompiles the source if the timestamps indicate a file (which inherently contains functions) has been modified.

Examiner maintains the rejections of claims 5-6, 9, 11, 13, 15, and 17.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Steelman, whose telephone number is (703) 305-4564. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM If

Art Unit: 2122

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Steelman, whose telephone number is (703) 305-4564. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on (703) 305-4552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mary Steelman

May Stulman

08/25/2004

Hoangu Centony dejugenba

ANTONY NGUYEN-BA PRIMARY FXAMINER